

SEE THE NEW GOODS NOW POURING IN AT CRAWFORD'S

AND EXAMINE THE PRICES DURING THE EXTENSION SALE!!
BARGAINS! BARGAINS!! BARGAINS!!!

Bargains That Tickle the Palate of Public Favor as Never Before! Bargains That Delight the Eye and Save the Pocket-Book! Bargains for All the World of Buyers and That Can Only Be Had While Additions to the Building Go On Which Will Add 22,000 Square Feet to Present Acreage and Which Will Make, with Two Exceptions, Neither One in St. Louis, the Finest Store in the Country Out of

THE GREAT BROADWAY BAZAAR!

COLORED DRESS GOODS.

2 cases Fancy Plaid Beiges, all nice spring shades, our price, 7½c; sold elsewhere at 10c.
120 pieces double width Pin Check Cheviot Suiting, with plaids and stripes to match, all new patterns, our price, 15c; made to sell for 25c.
65 pieces Printed Mohair Suiting, cream ground, with fancy designs in the newest shades, our price 17½c; regular price 25c.
48 pieces Imported Beige Foulle Suiting, with wide borders for trimming, our price, 25c; sold elsewhere for 35c.
55 pieces 40-inch all wool spring Habit Cloth, in tans, grays, beige and brown mixtures, our price, 30c; made to sell for 40c.
33 pieces 42-inch fine quality Mohair Brilliantine, in the new mixture effects, our price, 45c; sold elsewhere at 65c.
52 pieces 40-inch extra quality silk and wool German Novelty Plaids, in every new shade shown this season, our price, 50c; sold everywhere at 65c.
20 pieces 42-inch superfine quality English Brilliantine, in gray mixtures, a special bargain, our price, 65c; sold everywhere at 85c.
35 pieces 48-inch Fancy Novelty Stripe Mohair Suitings, one of the newest weaves, in the latest shades, our price, 75c; regular price, \$1.00.
25 pieces 42-inch French Reversible Henrietta Raye, a beautiful spring fabric in the newest shades, our price, 85c; sold elsewhere at \$1.00.

LINENS.

250 dozen fine Bleached Damask Towels, with knotted fringe, 19x 38 inches, at 15c each; worth 22½c.
100 dozen extra heavy German Damask Towels, choice line of borders and knotted fringe, 22x 48 inches, at 25c each; price elsewhere, 40c.
3 bales very heavy Unbleached Turkish Bath Towels, 23x45 inches, at 12½c each; well worth 20c.
5,000 yards very fine Check Glass Linen, good assortment of styles, 24 inches wide, at 15c per yard; regular price, 22½c.
22 pieces full bleached all-linen Table Damask, 62 inches wide, at 55c per yard; well worth 70c.
16 pieces full Bleached Pure Irish Linen Table Damask, 66 inches wide, real choice goods, at 80c per yard; worth \$1.15.
2 bales, Barnsley Bleached Crash, 18 inches wide, at 10c per yard; price elsewhere, 13½c.
3 cases Turkey Red Table Damask (mill remnants, from 2 to 5 yards each) at about half price.
4 cases White Quilts, 11-4 size, choice Marseilles patterns, at 95c each; regular price, \$1.20.
2 cases White Quilts, 11-4 size, Marseilles patterns, very choice goods, at \$1.20 each; regular price, \$1.50.

Ladies' Underwear.

Lot Ladies' Jersey Rib Vests, regular price, 20c; sale price, 10c or \$1 a dozen.
Lot Ladies' Fine Balbriggan Rib Vests, regular price, 25c; sale price, 15c.
Lot Ladies' extra Balbriggan Vests, flesh color, silk bands at neck and sleeves, regular price 35c; sale price 22½c.
Lot Ladies' extra fine Lisle Thread Jersey Rib Vests, regular price, 65c; sale price, 35c or 3 for \$1.00.
Lot Ladies' Guaranteed Fast Black Jersey Rib Vests, regular price, 50c; sale price, 25c.

Gents' Underwear.

Lot Gents' fine medium weight Scotch Gray Shirts or Drawers, regular price, 50c; sale price, 29c.
Lot Gents' extra fine medium weight white Merino Shirts or Drawers, French neck, rib skirts, regular price, 85c; sale price, 50c.
Lot Gents' extra fine fancy striped Balbriggan Shirts or Drawers, all sizes, regular price, \$2.00 a suit; sale price, 50c per garment.

LADIES' JACKETS AND WRAPS!

NEW GOODS THAT OPEN THE SEASON
AT PRICES THAT OTHER HOUSES CLOSE ON.

Ladies' Close-Fitting Jackets, in gray ladies' cloth, faced with same goods, bound seams, coat sleeve, standing collar.
Extension sale price, 65c; regular price, \$1.50
Ladies' Vest-Front Jackets, coat sleeve, faced with satin, nicely bound sleeves, in a pretty shade of mahogany.
Extension sale price, \$1.75; regular price, \$3.50
Ladies' Walking Jackets, in several pretty stripes of gray and tan, high standing collar, coat sleeve, elegant satin facings, pouf shoulders Extension sale price, \$4.75; regular price, \$7.50
Ladies' Chevron Jackets, pouf shoulders, silk-cord bound, best quality satin facing, coat sleeve, standing collar, in tan, navy blue and black Extension sale price, \$7.50; regular price, \$10.50
Ladies' Jackets, loose fronts, large rolling collar, pouf shoulders, double breasted, with pearl buttons, a very stylish Jacket, in blue, French gray and tan.
Extension sale price, \$8.75; regular price, \$12.50
Ladies' Blazer Jackets, elegantly made and finished, in several shades of tan, gray and blue Bedford cloth.
Extension sale price, \$5.25; regular price, \$8.50
Ladies' Light-Weight Spring Peasant Wraps, in French grays, blues, tans and black. Extension sale price, \$6.75; regular price, \$10.00
Ladies' Light-Weight Spring Peasants, in fancy broken plaids, satin-faced Extension sale price, \$4.75; regular price, \$7.50
Ladies' Tailor-Made Stockinet Jackets, in black only, high collars and coat sleeves. Extension sale price, \$2.75; regular price, \$5.50

Sateens, Prints and Gingham.

This department has no rival. Here is where you can always rely upon getting everything new and fashionable. The enormous variety of Wash Fabrics are simply immense, and every new weave in Wash goods from the looms of France, Germany, Scotland, England and America can be found on our counters. In Sateens especially Crawford's shows ten pieces to one shown by other merchants, in styles ten times prettier, and all 35 per cent cheaper.

Standard Prints, navy blue, hair-line striped; regular price, 7½c yard.
New and elegant designs in China Blue Penangs, a large variety, 32 inches wide, fast colors; regular price, 15c a yard.
32-inch French Zephyr Gingham, new spring styles, all the newest colorings in plaids and stripes; sold elsewhere at 25c a yard.
High Novelty Lace-Corded Plaid and Striped Scotch Gingham, such as are sold elsewhere at 50c a yard.
A large and elegant assortment of all the newest designs and colorings of Sateen, 32 inches wide; regular price, 15c a yard.
American Sateens in new French designs, fine cloth; the same as sold elsewhere at 20c a yard.
French Sateens in 4 and 6 tone effects, such as are sold elsewhere at 35c a yard.

See display in four windows on Broadway for novelties in Wash Goods.

SILK DEPARTMENT.

NEW SPRING ARRIVALS OF CHINA SILKS, THE LARGEST, CHEAPEST AND BEST ASSORTMENT IN THE CITY.

150 pieces solid colored China Silks, a great variety of shades, at 29c a yard; worth 50c.
200 pieces figured China Silks, in the very latest styles, at 39c a yard; really worth 85c.
175 pieces figured China Silks at 49c a yard; worth 75c.
120 pieces solid colored China Silks, in all the latest spring shades, at 67½c a yard; regularly sold for 85c.
50 pieces colored and black and white figured Canton Silks, very newest patterns, 85c a yard; sold elsewhere at \$1.25.
75 pieces solid colored Shanghai Silks, the finest and best quality brought to this market, at 85c a yard; worth \$1.25.
40 pieces figured Canton Silks, in one of the prettiest new designs, at 85c a yard; worth \$1.25.
Large figured India Silks, elegant designs in the very latest spring shades, \$1 yard; worth \$1.50.
24-inch striped and brocade China Silks, in cream, light blue and cardinal, 85c a yard; actual value, \$1.50.
Pure Silk colored striped Surah, garnet, brown, navy, cardinal and myrtle, at 65c a yard; worth 85c.
Black and white check Surah, at 65c a yard; worth 85c.
27-inch black and white polka dot Shanghai Silks, in large and small dots, at \$1 a yard; worth \$1.50.

LACES AND NETS.

Big Job in Black Silk Drapery Nets.
38 pieces 48-inch Black Silk Drapery Nets, exquisite designs in "La Tosca," Russian or Fish striped polka dot and figured, at 35c and \$1 a yard, sold elsewhere at \$1.50 and \$2 a yard.
19 pieces 42-inch Black Spanish Guipure Lace Flouncing, every thread silk warranted, pearl edge, must go at 75c a yard; really cheap at \$1.25 a yard.
900 different styles Vandyke Laces (latest novelty in dress trimming), in cream and two-toned, point de gene and black guipure and escurial, at 10c, 15c, 20c, 25c, 35c, 50c, 60c, 75c up to \$3.00 a yard; the largest and cheapest stock in the city.
The Latest Novelty, Vandyke Veiling, at 10c, 15c and 20c a veil.

Special Sale of Linen Laces.

2-inch Torchon, 5c a yard; 3-inch, 7½c a yard; 4-inch, 10c a yard.
3-inch Medici, 10c; 4-inch Medici, 12½c and 15c a yard.
500 dozen Embroidered Mull Scarfs at 10c each; worth 15c ea.
250 dozen Vandyke Irish Point Collars at 15c each; really cheap at 25c each.

TRIMMINGS.

1000 pieces Vandyke Points, 65c, 90c, \$1.00, \$1.25, \$1.50, \$1.75, \$2.00, \$2.25 a yard.
1000 pieces 2½-inch Persian Band, in all the new shades, 50c yard.
100 pieces 2-inch Persian Band, in fashionable shades, 35c yard.
1000 pieces Persian Band, all shades, 25c yard.
Tinsel Wave Braid, 2 inches wide, 12½c yard.
Knotted Fringes from 35c to \$4.50 yard.
1000 out Jet Ornaments, 10 inches long, 25c each.
1000 colored Bead Ornaments, 7 inches long, 20c each.

CORSETS.

Odd lot of Corsets, all of the best makes, extension sale price, 50c; were \$1 and \$1.25.



One of our "Specials," like cut, high bust and shoulder straps, extension sale price, 75c; regular price, \$1.25.

Small lot of the "Camille," Venus back, double bust and side steels, extension sale price, 75c; were \$1.25.

300 bone French Woven Corset, heavy boned sides, extra long waist, one of our own importation, extension sale price, 90c; were \$1.25.

I. O. "A la Persephone," beautiful shapes, in several goods and lengths, extension sale price, \$1.60, \$2.25, \$2.50; were \$1.75, \$2.50, \$3.25.

All the new things in Bustles from 15c to 50c.

To Prevent Mistakes in Filling Orders for Goods Here Advertised, Please Mention Post-Dispatch.

D. CRAWFORD & CO.,

COR. BROADWAY AND
FRANKLIN AVENUE.

St. Louis Post-Dispatch

PUBLISHED BY
THE DISPATCH PUBLISHING CO.,
JOSEPH PULITZER, President.

TERMS OF THE DAILY.
One year, postage paid, every afternoon and
Sunday morning, \$10.00
Six months, \$6.00
Three months, \$3.50
By the week (delivered by carrier), 20
By the week, by mail, per year, \$2.00
Subscribers who fail to receive their paper regu-
larly will confer a favor upon us by reporting the
same to this office by postal card.
All business or news letters or telegrams should be
addressed
POST-DISPATCH,
513 Olive street.

POSTAGE.
Entered as the Post-office, St. Louis, Mo., as
second-class matter.
Per Copy.
Eight to sixteen pages, 5 Cents
Eighteen to thirty-two pages, 10 Cents
Daily Post-Dispatch, 5 Cents
Sunday Post-Dispatch, 5 Cents
FOREIGN.
Daily Post-Dispatch, 1 Cent
Sunday Post-Dispatch, 5 Cents
TELEPHONE NUMBERS.
Editorial Rooms, 253
Business Office, 254
London Office, 32 Cockspur Street, Charing Cross.

TEN PAGES.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 5, 1890.
AMUSEMENTS TO-NIGHT.
OLYMPIA—Fairy Danceport.
GRAND OPERA—House-Consolidated Minstrels.
FOP'S—"A Dark Secret."
PEOPLE'S—"Die."

Weather forecast for twenty-four
hours, beginning at 8 a. m. to-day,
for Missouri: Snow; continued cold
weather to-day; easterly winds;
warmer weather with snow on Thurs-
day.

Weather forecast for twenty-four hours,
beginning at 8 a. m. to-day, for St. Louis:
Light snow or rain; warmer.

Is the trouble in the State Treasurer's
office the result of a game of poker or of
politics?

Gov. FRANCIS seems to be laboring
under the impression that the Governor is
the people.

Even Speaker REED's sharp eyes would
have failed to "see" a quorum of voters
at the polls yesterday.

The Russian administrative process of
dealing with suspects seems to have crept
into the methods of the chief executive
of Missouri.

The Republican party of Missouri may
again have reason to regard Gov. FRANCIS
as its best friend and its ablest assistant
in its next campaign.

The election in St. Louis yesterday was
only a straw, but it shows that the wind
does not blow in the direction of local
Democratic success.

SENATOR ALLISON will return to the
Senate Chamber in Washington with
valuable hints as to the views of the Iowa
people on the subject of the discriminating
tariff and monopoly.

The fact that Gov. FRANCIS intended to
oust Treasurer NOLAN on his own invest-
igation indicates that he was densely
ignorant of the law and thought that the
State Government belonged to him.

Why is not Auditor SEIBERT suspended
from office? If the State Treasurer has
not handled the funds of the treasury
properly it must have been with the
knowledge and consent of the State
Auditor.

Making home attractive and beautiful
is one of the most potent means of ele-
vating the people. This admirable object
is promoted by the SUNDAY POST-DISPATCH
through its gift of charming and artistic
pictures to its want advertisers.

In the contest over the ordinance re-
ducing the price of gas the Municipal
Assembly is likely to learn that its con-
tracts with corporations and individuals
cannot be broken at will. The lesson
should teach them to be more careful in
making such contracts.

The hotels are filled with country mer-
chants, a sure indication of a fine whole-
sale trade, and the Post-DISPATCH is
filled with advertisements, a sure indica-
tion of a lively retail trade. The pros-
pects of booming business in St. Louis
this spring were never better.

The angry father in Oaktown, Ind., who
telegraphed to Recorder HOBBS to arrest
an eloping couple had evidently heard of
the St. Louis way of doing things. But
since the Fleming affair it is probably not
so easy to secure the arrest of innocent
people on a simple request.

The House Committee on Markets will
do the right thing by promptly submitting
a report in favor of the bill for the im-
provement of Union Market. The plans
have been perfected and the interests of
the public and of the merchants in the
neighborhood of the proposed improve-
ments demand their speedy completion.

BETWEEN the precipitation and insinua-
tion of the Governor and the ostenta-
tious secrecy of Treasurer NOLAN's
friends the State and the Democratic
party in the State has received a black
eye which even the vindication of the
State Treasurer will not remove for some
time to come.

Treasurer NOLAN awaits investigation
so calmly and so confidently denies the
existence of any deficit, that the appren-
sion created by the semi-mysterious
outrivings of the Governor and Lou V.

STEPHENS has about faded away. NO-
LAND was elected by the people, even if
he was nominated and bonded by the rail-
roads, and if he is to be removed for a
merely technical irregularity, the political
job of putting him out of STEPHENS' way
might have been executed in a manner
much less injurious to the credit of the
State and the interests of the party.

OUR TREASURY TROUBLE.
Whenever the State Treasurer fails to
make a monthly report to the Governor,
or it appears that the report is false,
or that the Treasurer has failed to
deposit the State's money as required
by law, or has made any drafts on the
deposits that were not authorized by law,
it becomes the duty of the Governor to
suspend the Treasurer and institute pro-
ceedings in the Supreme Court for his
removal. Every dollar of the State's
money may be safe in the Treasury, but
if any money belonging to one fund has
been drawn contrary to law to pay war-
rants against an exhausted fund, the
Treasurer has laid himself liable to sus-
pension, and to removal if the court shall
find him guilty of violating the law that
should govern his official acts.

Gov. FRANCIS has formally suspended
Treasurer NOLAN on the ground that his
books show "certain irregularities," not
specified, but presumably payments that
were not right except as they were made
out of the wrong funds. The Governor
does not allege that there is any deficit in
the aggregate, and admits that if any
should yet be found it will be a small one
which the bondsmen can easily make up.
Yet, deficit or no deficit, the Governor
announces that ouster proceedings will
be instituted immediately to create a vac-
ancy, which will give him the appoint-
ment of a new State Treasurer. He was
apparently so eager for the opportunity
that he overlooked the statute requiring
him to appoint a committee to examine
and report on the Treasurer's books and
accounts till NOLAN called attention to
the statutes and stood on his rights.

If this committee reports no deficit and
finds only such "irregularities" as
NOLAN's predecessors have practiced
without question and without loss to
the State, the end of the matter
will enable the public to judge
whether the Governor is actuated more
by a suddenly acquired zeal for the strict
letter of the law than by a desire to annex
the State Treasury to his political ma-
chine. The very first rumor of the Gov-
ernor's movement against NOLAN set all
the politicians a talking about Lou
STEPHENS as the man who would either
be NOLAN's successor or hold the same
relation to the Treasury management
that the late Col. BURNES held when
GATES was Treasurer. Mr. STEPHENS is
generally credited with both the means
and the financial connections to make the
most of the available opportunities in
handling the State's money.

IOWA'S PROHIBITION VERDICT.
The most candid, bold and sensible dis-
cussion of prohibition in the light of ex-
perience which has been presented by an
official of a prohibition State is found in
the message of Gov. BOIES of Iowa. He
makes no attempt to straddle the ques-
tion, or to conceal facts, or juggle with
words. He tells the plain, wholesome
truth about the results of prohibition.

Gov. BOIES declares that prohibition is
a failure, that the law is not enforced,
except in portions of the State where the
majority of the people consent to its en-
forcement. Speaking of the subject he
remarks:

"If practical experiment was necessary to
demonstrate the workings of this law we
have had it. No statute was ever supplied
with better facilities for its enforcement, or
with more excessive penalties for its vio-
lation, considering the nature of the acts pro-
hibited, and yet with all its terrors, with
every breath of the State Government, on
the hands of its friends it has lain limp and
lifeless, ignored, disregarded and despised in
most of the large cities of the State, all of
the day of its birth to the present time.

It is incapable of demonstration except
upon naked assumption that the use of in-
toxicating liquors as a beverage in Iowa
has diminished since the law took effect. It is
a patent fact, known to everyone who has
taken pains to inform himself, that in many
of our cities, containing as they do a large
fraction of our population, the only effect of
the law has been to relieve the traffic of those
liquors from legal restraint of every kind. It is
equally notorious that in the large cities of the
State, where the open saloon has been closed,
a secret traffic, sufficient to supply all the
wants of the trade, has immediately followed.
It must be apparent to unbiased minds that in
these localities at least the use of intoxicating
liquors as a beverage has not been diminished
by our prohibitory law, but instead thereof
that it has been greatly increased. If want of
legal restraint of any kind will produce such
effect.

The message further asserts that be-
cause the State is trying to accomplish
more than is possible, instead of having
the traffic controlled, placed in the hands
of fit persons, "it is open to the vilest of
men for the sale of the most adulterated
of liquors to every class of human beings
that can be induced to buy." This is
done in violation of law and without pay-
ment of a cent of revenue to the State.

The Governor takes the result of the
election in Iowa as the verdict of the peo-
ple against prohibition. He urges that in
accordance with the demand of the people
the Legislature is bound to substitute
something better and advises the adoption
of strong and comprehensive local option
and high license laws. Thus prohibition
is again condemned by fair trial.

When Treasurer SEIBERT's Chief Clerk
in the Treasury was nominated to suc-
ceed him, and SEIBERT himself was nomi-
nated for Auditor, the Post-DISPATCH
pointed out the impropriety of such a con-
junction and declared that the party

would be lucky if no trouble grew out of
it. The Auditor is the State's principal
check upon irregularities in the Treas-
ury. The Auditor has to pass upon all
vouchers and counter-
sign all warrants drawn on any
particular fund, and without the
compliance of the Auditor the Treas-
urer cannot be guilty of any continuous
irregularity. Now, however, it seems
that the Governor is indebted, not to the
Auditor, but to some anonymous New
York banker or to Lou V. STEPHENS for
the information on which he proceeded to
stir up such a sensation of mystery and
alarm over the State Treasury. If the
Governor should happen to want the
Auditor's office also for a friend, we do
not readily perceive how SEIBERT can
escape if NOLAN is deposed.

THE personal organ of the Governor
quotes him as saying: "Also on account
of the widespread rumor affecting that
"department, I have thought best to sus-
pend Mr. NOLAN from office." The law
does not give the Governor authority to
suspend the Treasurer on account of a
rumor, either widespread or otherwise,
and in the present instance there is reason
to believe that the spreading of the rumor
was the work of people who stood very
close to the Governor and would not
spread a rumor which he would object to.
It would be very strange indeed if the
Governor had started the rumor on his
travels and had then removed the Treas-
urer on the ground that the rumor had
traveled.

By reckless allegations in an uncivil
legal fight School Directors L. D. DOZER
and P. J. KELLY have been presented in
court by the Makin faction, as "tax-
dodgers." The official records within
fifty feet of the court-room completely
disproved the unwarranted statements
made yesterday in the Court of Appeals.
Both gentlemen have their receipts, which
leave no hook whereon to hang a question
as to their qualifications to hold their
places in the School Board.

HAVING spent money lavishly and made
magnificent pledges to get the World's
Fair Chicago is now unable to show the
guarantee fund which she claimed to
have raised. False pretenses are as sure
to bring cities as individuals to shame.

Mr. F. L. Marshall,
Representing the Post-DISPATCH on "Change,
will be on the floor during business hours and
will call on merchants who desire to make use
of the Post-DISPATCH market reports. Tele-
phone 254.

Mr. Elsieff's Alarm.
To the Editor of the Post-DISPATCH:
KIRKWOOD, March 4.—The Post-DISPATCH
of Monday contains a paragraph stating the
alarm felt by Mr. Wm. L. Elsieff, "one of the
most prominent citizens" of New
York, over the condition of the Mississippi
River. He is troubled by the jetties at the
mouth of the river, as "obstructions" to the
flow of the water into the Gulf. As one of
those who built the jetties, he can assure him
that the jetties are not only a help, but a
benefit. The French war jetties, which were
built in the east bank of the river about fifteen
miles above its mouth. Further, for the in-
crease of the river, the jetties are a help, but
the fact that the river surface at the
mouth of the river is no higher than be-
fore the jetties were begun, and if the jetties
ever do dam the water, it can get out over the
land of the river, and the only a few
inches above its surface. I have all proper
reasons for Mr. Elsieff's alarm, and I am
the only one I know of it for him to get
acquainted with the truth before he writes or
talks about the river.
H. S. ELLIOTT.

ANSWERS TO CORRESPONDENTS.
SUBSCRIBER.—Notre coins are purchased,
at this office.
OLD SUBSCRIBER.—Sullivan and Ryan fought
under Logan's private rule.
F. S. L.—No ships are transferred by rail
across the Isthmus of Panama.
LATE SUBSCRIBER.—Explanations of idioms
of foreign languages are not given in this
column.
SUBSCRIBER.—Buffalo Bill appeared with his
Wild West combination in this city many
years ago.
FLAHER.—1. There have been droves of wild
horses known as mustangs on the plains. 2.
Your handkerchief is a thing that makes a
difference.

"Did you employ detectives?"
"No."
"Did you employ a lawyer?"
"No."
"Did you employ a doctor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a nurse?"
"No."
"Did you employ a cook?"
"No."
"Did you employ a butler?"
"No."
"Did you employ a valet?"
"No."
"Did you employ a groom?"
"No."
"Did you employ a stable boy?"
"No."
"Did you employ a footman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a messenger?"
"No."
"Did you employ a clerk?"
"No."
"Did you employ a janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day watchman?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day porter?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a day janitor?"
"No."
"Did you employ a night watchman?"
"No."
"

...COUNTRY PRODUCE
...ST. LOUIS, MO.
...ED 1906.
LY & CO.
...A...

